#### KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

ORIGINAL

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP

1200 19th STREET, N.W. SUITE 500

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FACSIMILE (202) 955-9792

www.kelleydrye.com

NEW YORK, NY LOS ANGELES, CA CHICAGO, IL STAMFORD, CT

PARSIPPANY NJ

BRUSSELS, BELGIUM HONG KONG

AFFILIATE OFFICES BANGKOK, THAILAND JAKARTA, INDONESIA MANILA, THE PHILIPPINES MUMBAL INDIA TOKYO, JAPAN

(202) 955-9600

DIRECT LINE (202) 955-9664 E-MAIL: jcanis@kelleydrye.com

July 6, 2000

RECEIVED

JUL 6 2000 FEBERAL COMMANNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission The Portals 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation by Intermedia Communications Inc. CC Docket Nos. 95-185, 96-98, 96-262, 97-146, and WT Docket No. 97-207

Dear Ms. Salas:

Pursuant to Sections 1.1206(b)(1) and (2) of the Commission's Rules, Intermedia Communications Inc. ("Intermedia"), by its undersigned counsel, submits this notice in the above-captioned docketed proceedings of oral and written ex parte presentations made on June 30, 2000. The presentations were made by Heather Gold, Vice President, Industry Policy, Intermedia; and Jonathan Canis of Kelley Drye & Warren LLP. The presentations were made to:

> Tamara Preiss, Deputy Chief, Competitive Pricing Division Anthony J. DeLaurentis, Competitive Pricing Division Rodney McDonald, Competitive Pricing Division Thomas Navin, Competitive Pricing Division

During the presentations, Intermedia discussed a variety of issues related to the appropriate forms of compensation that should apply to ISP-bound traffic terminated between interconnected local carriers. Specifically, Intermedia urged the Commission to expeditiously issue an order finding that the appropriate level of compensation for ISP-bound dial-up calls is the reciprocal compensation rate that applies to local traffic passed between interconnected local exchange carriers, unless and until a state regulatory commission sets some other form of TELRIC-based compensation. Intermedia also discussed the need for CLECs to maintain access

DC01/CANIJ/118797.1

#### KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

July 6, 2000 Page Two

tariffs on file with the Commission. During the presentation, a written piece was distributed. Copies are attached to this notice.

Pursuant to the Commission's rules, Intermedia submits an original and a copy of this notice of *ex parte* contact by hand delivery for inclusion in the public record of the above-referenced proceedings. Please direct any questions regarding this matter to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan E. Canis

cc: Tamara Preiss

Anthony J. DeLaurentis Rodney McDonald

Thomas Navin

International Transcription Service

### INTERMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS INC.

# THE COMMISSION SHOULD ADOPT RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION RULES THAT ACCOMMODATE STATE DECISIONS

---//---

# THE COMMISSION SHOULD NOT ADOPT MANDATORY DETARIFFING FOR CLEC ACCESS SERVICES

Heather Gold, VP, Industry Policy Jon Canis, Kelley Drye & Warren June 30, 2000

# RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION

#### RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION

- INTERMEDIA IS ARBITRATING RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION IN MULTIPLE STATES
  - GA, NC, FL, LA, TN, AL
  - PRE-ARBITRATION NEGOTIATIONS
     WITH BELL ATLANTIC, SBC, U S WEST
  - LIKE OTHER CLECs INTERMEDIA IS ESTABLISHING SIGNIFICANT PRECEDENT IN MANY STATES

## RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION (cont'd)

- INTERMEDIA'S ARBITRATIONS
  ESTABLISHING RULES FOR MULTIYEAR INTERCONNECTION
  AGREEMENTS
  - NC Final; FL, GA Recommended
- COMMISSION SHOULD NOT TAKE ACTION THAT WILL DISRUPT THESE DECISIONS

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

- ACTION IN CC DOCKET NO. 96-98, 99-68
  - Adopt Commission's Tentative Conclusion
    - ISP-bound dialup traffic is interstate
    - But access charge exemption remains
    - Treated as local traffic for compensation purposes

#### RELIEF REQUESTED (cont'd)

- ISSUE ORDER IN DOCKET No. 96-98 (cont'd)
  - States May Set New Compensation, But:
    - Must be monetary -- cannot be bill & keep
    - All traffic with long hang times must be treated the same
      - Help desk, ticket reservation, insurance claims
      - ILEC must demonstrate cost differences justify different rate structure
    - Must allow CLECs to justify different rates or rate structures, at their option

#### RELIEF REQUESTED (cont'd)

- ISSUE ORDER IN DOCKET No. 96-98 (cont'd)
  - States May Set New Compensation, But:
    - Unless & until states complete rate case & set new, TELRIC-based rates, FCC must prescribe state-set rate for local traffic as the rate that applies to ISP-bound calls
    - Necessary to provide continuity in case of lengthy state proceedings
    - Needed to avoid harassing litigation that BellSouth has demonstrated

# CLEC DETARIFFING

#### **CLEC DETARIFFING**

- COMMISSION SHOULD ALLOW PERMISSIVE DETARIFFING, BUT MUST NOT MANDATE IT
  - Highly Deleterious Impact On CLECs
  - Would Not Promote Reasonable Rates For IXCs
  - Would Subject Commission To Reversal On Appeal

- MANDATORY DETARIFFING WOULD HARM CLECs
  - CLECs Have No Leverage To Negotiate With Large IXCs
    - Experience with AT&T & Sprint proves it
  - Large IXCs Will Demand Below-Cost Rates
    - Will force CLECs to discriminate by IXC
  - Could Result In Forcing CLECs To Set Rates
     Below Cost Due To Lack Of Market Power

- MANDATORY DETARIFFING WOULD NOT PROVIDE REASONABLE RATES TO IXCs
  - "Negotiated" Arrangements Would Result In Lower Rates For Largest IXCs, Higher Rates For Smaller IXCs And Resellers
  - Subsidy From Small To Large IXCs
  - No Certainty For IXCs Under Negotiated Contracts

- MANDATORY DETARIFFING WOULD NOT PASS JUDICIAL REVIEW
  - Keeping Tariffs For ILECs Guarantees Cost Recovery For Largest ILECs, While Forcing Small Carriers To "Negotiate" With IXCs
  - No Basis For Finding CLECs & NECA ILECs Differently Situated
  - Disparate Analysis Of Market Power & Ability To Negotiate Reasonable Rates Would Be Arbitrary & Capricious

- MANDATORY DETARIFFING WOULD NOT SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST
  - Would Force Crisis With AT&T & Sprint,
     Resulting In Massive 251(a)(1)Complaints
  - Would Eliminate The Controlled
     Implementation Of Call Blocking Set Out In
     MGC v AT&T Decision
  - Would Result In Routine Service Outages As
     Carriers Sue Each Other

#### REQUESTED RELIEF

- AFFIRM PERMISSIVE DETARIFFING
- IF NECESSARY TO ENSURE
  REASONABLE RATES, ADOPT
  BELLWETHER CARRIER PROPOSAL
  ADVANCED BY ALTS